

Reference

1. Berkow R, editor. *The Merck Manual of Diagnosis and Therapy* (thirteenth edition). Rahway, N.J.: Merck Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories, 1977.

Michael W. Warren, Ph.D.
 Department of Anthropology
 1350 Turlington Hall
 University of Florida
 Gainesville, Florida 32611
 mwarren@grove.ufl.edu

Authors' Response

Sir:

We appreciate Michael Warren's attention to the details of our brief communication published in the November 1998 issue of this journal. Warren suggests that we made two errors when dealing with issues critical to gestational or lunar age determination: calculation of lunar cycle length; and human fetal gestational length, which can be determined from date of conception or date of last (normal) menstrual period (LMP).

Each of Warren's points, while having merit, has underlying methodological complications. First, he states that a source of confusion arises about the use of gestational age to represent a source of solar dates, rather than lunar dates, for describing the age of a fetus. Although he did not show his calculations, we assume that he arrived at this value by the following: $365.25 \text{ days}/12 \text{ months} = 30.43 \text{ days per month}$. Olivier and Pineau (1,2; French anatomists not lay persons as to dating fetuses), chose to define a gestational month as 31.1 days. The formula for this calculation is as follows: $280 \text{ days}/9 \text{ "mois civils"} = 31.1 \text{ days}$. Having followed these researchers and expanded on their published Table 3, we chose to keep their calculations. We expanded but did not substantially alter their published table when we listed conversion between gestational age and lunar age prior to four-and-a-half months.

As Olivier and Pineau's publications serve as indirect major references for forensic specialists who practice forensic fetal osteology, it is essential to know their underlying relationship between lunar months and "civil" months. When scientists change Olivier and Pineau's dates by modifying their charts without addressing their (and indirectly our) calculations, it puts other researchers at risk for interpretational errors. For instance, someone who feels competent in forensic fetal osteology could apply the work of Olivier and Pineau, figure 36 in Stewart's *Essentials of Forensic Anthropology* (3) and assume that 10 lunar months is comparable to 9.2 gestational months with Warren's conversion chart, not 9.0 gestational months using Olivier and Pineau's original definition. In such an instance, we agree with Warren that a source of confusion has arisen.

It is of utmost importance to realize that the primary references on forensic fetal osteology used by forensic anthropologists—Olivier and Pineau (1,2), Fazekas and Kosa (4), Weaver (5)—are based on underlying assumptions that the specimens used to develop these standards were free of complications and represent viable, living fetuses that follow normal gestational lengths and normal growth and development curves. These fetuses were assigned ages by known gestational age and/or through estimation from external dimensions of the fetus.

One must consider that a great deal of variability exists that can influence the age of the fetus: length of ovarian, and therefore, uterine cycle (6–8); variation in gestational length, coupled with populational differences (9–14), recollection of dates associated with

conception or LMP (10,15–16), migration and implantation of the zygote (17–22) and stressors of intrauterine growth (16,22–27). Clinicians can assess gestational age through use of biometric assessment, such as the Ballard score based on neuromuscular and physical maturity (28). Forensic anthropologists, usually working with much less material than clinicians, attempt to assign precise, accurate age to the fetus at time of fetal demise, yet this precise assignment is problematic when one cannot completely account for the factors that create underlying variability. Consequently, alterations in gestational duration and fetal growth and development may affect fetal age determination. Under some circumstances, the forensic anthropologist may want to consider his or her limitations and consult another specialist, such as an obstetrician.

Given these comments, the reader is advised to read Olivier and Pineau's original work and to reread both our article and Warren's commentary. Both we and Warren agree that pregnancy lasts an average of 280 days, whether calculated in lunar or gestational months. Both sets of calculations begin at conception rather than LMP and both end at 280 days. Warren's conversion chart accounts for a calendar month of 30.44 days, while our conversion chart is based on Olivier and Pineau's civil month. If using our chart, please keep in mind that the nine-month gestational period is based on 31.1 days. If using Warren's chart, please do not directly compare it to Olivier and Pineau without intermediate conversion between 30.44 to 31.1 days. While we agree with him that the math must be correctly applied to arrive at a valid conclusion for the age of the fetus, correction for dates based on the 30.44 days is inherently risky, since some of the very standards used by forensic anthropologists—Olivier and Pineau—have an inherent bias of 31.1 days. Perhaps, as Warren suggested, the forensic scientist should move away from gestational months to gestational weeks, which are based on 7 calendar day cycles. In closing, we chose to follow Olivier and Pineau's standard convention rather than modify same and thereby introduce another source of potential error.

References

1. Olivier G, Pineau H. Détermination de l'âge du fœtus et de l'embryon. *Arch Anat (La Semaine des Hôpitaux)* 1958;6:21–8.
2. Olivier G, Pineau H. Nouvelle détermination de la taille foetale d'après les longueurs diaphysaires des os longs. *Ann Méd Lég* 1960;40:141–4.
3. Stewart TD. *Essentials of forensic anthropology*. Springfield; Charles C Thomas publishers.
4. Fazekas IG, Kosa F. *Forensic fetal osteology*. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó Publishers. 1978.
5. Weaver SD. Forensic aspects of fetal and neonatal skeletons. In: Reichs KJ, editor. *Forensic Osteology*. Springfield: Charles C Thomas, 1986;90–100.
6. Berkow R, editor. *The Merck Manual of Diagnosis and Therapy* (sixteenth edition), Rahway; Merck and Co. Inc., 1992.
7. Huxley AK. The consequences of serum calcium homeostasis, reproductive life history and bone mineral content in post-menopausal women with implications to theories of aging [dissertation]. Tucson (AZ): The University of Arizona, 1999.
8. Marshall WA, Tanner JM. Growth and physiological development during adolescence. *Ann Rev Med* 1968;19:283–300.
9. Karn MN. Length of human gestation with special reference to prematurity. *Ann Eugen* 1947;14:44–59.
10. Westphal MC, Joshi GB. The interrelationship of birth weight, length of gestation, and neonatal mortality. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 1964;7:670–86.
11. Papiernik E, Cohen H, Richard A. Ethnic differences in duration of pregnancy. *Ann Hum Biol* 1986;13(3):259–65.
12. Papiernik E, Alexander GR, Paneth N. Racial differences in pregnancy duration and its implications for perinatal care. *Med Hypotheses* 1990;33:181–6.
13. Henderson M, Kay J. Differences in the duration of pregnancy: negro and white women of low socioeconomic class. *Arch Environ Health* 1967;14:904–11.
14. Birkbeck JA, Billewicz WZ, Thomson AM. Human foetal measurements

- between 50 and 150 days of gestation, in relation to crown-heel length. *Ann Hum Biol* 1975;2(2):173-8.
15. Daya S. Accuracy of gestational age estimation by means of fetal crown-rump length measurement. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1993;168(3):903-8.
 16. Gardosi J. The application of individualized fetal growth curves. *J Perinat Med* 1998;26:137-42.
 17. Sadler TW. *Langman's medical embryology* (fifth edition), Baltimore; Williams and Wilkins, 1985.
 18. Wong KHH, Adashi EY. Gametogenesis, fertilization and implantation. In: Reece EA, Hobbins JC, editors. *Medicine of the fetus and mother* (second edition). Philadelphia; Lippincott-Raven Publishers, 1999.
 19. Sever JL. Infectious agents and fetal disease. In: Waisman HA, Kerr GR. *Fetal growth and development*. New York; McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1970.
 20. Branch DW. Immunological disease and fetal death. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 1987;30(2):295-311.
 21. Sargent IL. Immunobiological adaptations of pregnancy. In: Reece EA, Hobbins JC, editors. *Medicine of the fetus and mother* (second edition). Philadelphia; Lippincott-Raven Publishers, 1999.
 22. Fox H. Normal and abnormal placentation. Reece EA, Hobbins JC, editors. *Medicine of the fetus and mother*. Philadelphia; Lippincott-Raven Publishers, 1999.
 23. Gruenwald P. Fetal malnutrition. In: Waisman HA, Kerr GR, editors. *Fetal growth and development*. New York; McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1970.
 24. Waisman HA, Kerr GR. *Fetal growth and development*. New York; McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1970.
 25. Miller HC, Merritt TA. *Fetal growth in humans*. Chicago; Year Book Medical Publications, 1979.
 26. Dancis J. Processes of placental transfer. In: Waisman HA, Kerr GR. *Fetal growth and development*. New York; McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1970.
 27. Hill LM, Guzick D, Thomas ML, Fries JK. Fetal radius length: a critical evaluation of race as a factor in gestational age assessment. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989;161:193-9.
 28. Ballard JL, Khoury JC, Wedig K, Wang L, Ellers-Walsman BL, Lipp R. New Ballard score, expanded to include extremely premature infants. *J Pediatr* 1991;119:417-23.

Angie K. Huxley
 Doctoral Candidate
 Department of Anthropology
 College of Arts and Sciences
 The University of Arizona
 Tucson, AZ 85721
 ahuxley@u.arizona.edu

Jay B. Angevine, Jr., Ph.D.
 Professor Emeritus
 Cell Biology and Anatomy
 College of Medicine
 The University of Arizona
 Arizona Health Sciences Center
 Tucson, AZ 85724